

Submission Form (Form 5)

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)
Post: District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340
In person: Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or
Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit:
www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

Full name: Elaine Patricia Reddy

Phone: 09 431 2338

Organisation: Elaine Reddy and Josephine Reddy
(*the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

Email: elainepreddy@gmail.com

Postal address: 33 Baldrock Road, RD2, Maungaturoto

Postcode: _0587

Address for service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):

33 Baldrock Road, RD2, Maungaturoto Postcode: 087

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☐ I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

☐ I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature:

Date:

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission.)

Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I **do not** wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar

submission at any hearing

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Date received:

Submitter ID:

Submission Form (Form 5)

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

Email: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)

Post: District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340

In person: Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or
Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit:
www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

Full name: Elaine Patricia Reddy and Josephine Reddy

Phone: 09 431 2338

Organisation:

*(*the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)*

Email: elainepreddy@gmail.com, josephinereddy1@gmail.com

Postal address: 33 Baldrock Road, RD2, Maungaturoto

Postcode: 0587

Address for service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):

33 Baldrock Road, RD2, Maungaturoto Postcode: 087

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

☐ I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

☐ I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature:

E. P. Reddy

Date: 23/6/25

Signature:

[Signature]

Date: 23/06/25

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission.)

Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☐ I **do not** wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- ☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- ☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

33 Baldrock Road
RD2
Maungaturoto
0587

Kaipara District Council
32 Hokianga Road
Dargaville

23rd June 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

The outcome of the proposal is to obtain protection for the Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome and its adjoining landscape. To protect the valley and surrounding area by prohibiting all new and exciting mining, quarrying, and adverse effects from large-scale earthworks and infrastructure that will impact the natural features and landscape of the surrounding Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome.

Under NFL-P2, existing use and development. It is worded; allow them to continue without undue restriction. We opposed this provision and request a full prohibited status to be placed over the Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding area. We also oppose any earthwork to Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome and its adjoining landscape under Earthworks, Section 32 Report. Which will avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects and protect ONF and ONL under the RMA, and the Kaipara District Council plan.

At a meeting of immediate neighbours, two members of the Coates family stated that they, together with Wharehine, wish to reopen 136 Baldrock Road for quarrying/mining. They plan to take 60-80 cubic meters of rock a year for 20 years. We believe this would have a significantly detrimental effect on the area.

The beauty of Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukekaroro is dependent on its surrounding natural environment. Their aesthetic values, including memorability and naturalness, are of great value to the community.

These maunga/Mountains are connected to the surrounding landscape and hold historical significance to tangata whenua Te Uri-o-hau of Ngati Whatua. They are not single identities and need to be viewed as an area of outstanding natural landscape.

Therefore, we do not want any mining, quarrying, or large-scale earthworks and infrastructure in Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome and its adjoining land. As this would impact Pukpohatu/Baldrock, Pukekaroro, and Pukekaroro valley.

Yours sincerely

Elaine Reddy and Josephine Reddy

elainepreddy@gmail.com

josephinereddy1@gmail.com

Elaine: 09 431 2338

Elaine: 027 431 2336

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council. <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Chapter/ Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	objective/policy/rule/ standard/overlay	Oppose/ support (in part or full)	Reasons	
	EW-O1 Earthworks activities within the District EW-P5 Effects on infrastructure	Oppose in Full	Mining and Quarrying activities of Bladrock dacite dome and the surrounding area.	<p>There will be no effective way to manage adverse effects regarding public safety.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The area has become densely populated with houses, lifestyle blocks, and farmland. There are houses and businesses within meters of the proposed quarry/mining site. The people who live in the area have a dog kennel and cattery business, and farms to provide an income for their families Previous attempts to quarry and mine the area have caused damage to a house and harm and undue stress to human and animal life. Rock derby falling, noise that will scare animals and humans Dust, which will have adverse effects on people and animals, and will cover land and houses Activity from trucks and heavy machinery will create damage to roading and increase traffic movement and requiring upgrades and repairs to roading infrastructure. Noise pollution of machinery, blasting, rock derby, and movement.
	EW-P2 Manage the effects of earthworks	Oppose in Full	Mining and Quarrying activities of Bladrock dacite dome and the surrounding area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The stability of the Pukopohatu/Bladrock (it is noted under the Natural Features and Landscapes as a fragile structure) The surrounding adjoining land, surrounding land will become unstable through movement from above ground and blasting vibrations. Infrastructure, buildings, and structures will become unstable through movement in the ground and above-ground blasting vibrations.

EW-P3 Quarrying activities And mining activities	Oppose in Full	Mining and Quarrying activities of Baldrock dacite dome and the surrounding area.	<p>The area surrounding Pukpohatu/Baldrock has cultural and ecological values; any mining, quarrying, or earthworks will damage and destroy native fauna and flora.</p> <p>Baldrock will become unstable and unstructured. Any removal of rock will cause instability, which could cause the:</p> <p>There are many endangered species:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Native and exotic bird species · Native and exotic trees and flora · Native freshwater species of fish and crayfish <p>Rivers and waterways surrounding Baldrock</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Reddy family and farm get their water for people and animals from the adjoining land. • Other adjoining landowners will get water from similar water sources. <p>Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding area of Pukpohatu/Baldrock are areas of cultural significance to tangata whenua Te Uri-o-hau of Ngati Whatua</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 1825 Battle of Te Ika-A-Ranganui, Ngati Whatua battled Ngapuhi invasion led by Hongi Heke. • Caving by Maori on the rock face of Pukpohatu/Baldrock • The Maunga gave refuge and protection to Maori, and there are known areas of tapu/sacred land on Pukpohatu/Baldrock
NFL-O1 Protection of Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Nat ural Landscapes	Support in Full/Part	To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.	<p>The outcome of the proposal is to obtain protection for the Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome and its adjoining landscape. To protect the valley and surrounding area by prohibiting mining, quarrying, and adverse effects from large-scale earthworks and infrastructure that will impact the natural features and landscape of the surrounding Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome.</p> <p>The beauty of Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro is dependent on its surrounding natural environment. Their aesthetic values, including memorability and naturalness, are of great value to the community.</p> <p>These maunga/Mountains are connected to the surrounding landscape and hold historical significance to tangata whenua Te Uri-o-hau of Ngati Whatua. They are not single identities and need to be viewed as an area of outstanding natural landscape.</p>
NFL-O2 Maintenance and enhancement of Out standing	Support in Full	To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding	<p>All maintenance will enhance the outstanding natural landscapes.</p> <p>The protection of native and exotic vegetation through regenerative native planting and vegetation (native and exotic). If quarrying and mining are allowed in the area, this will damage and delay the regeneration of native bush areas.</p>

	<p>Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes</p> <p>NFL-P1 Activities that maintain, restore or enhance characteristics, qualities and values</p>		<p>valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.</p> <p>To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.</p>	<p>Pukpohatu/Baldrock is a natural science factor, including geological, topographical, ecological, and dynamic components. It is an example of a dacite dome. And is considered a fragile landform. If quarrying and mining are allowed in the area, this will damage the integrity of the structure.</p> <p>Maintenance of water, streams, and rivers surrounding Pukpohatu/Baldrock needs to be protected.</p>
	<p>NFL-P2 Existing use and development</p>	<p>Oppose in Full</p>	<p>To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.</p>	<p>Recognise that lawfully established land use and development are located within Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes and allow them to continue without undue restriction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pukpohatu/Baldrock was once an exciting quarry site. However, there has been significant development in housing, subdivision, and business within the area. Making the site no longer safe to operate any quarrying, mining, or earthworks in the surrounding area. • Culturally, times have changed, and the voice of Tangata whenua over the protection of land is significant. As a country, we have learned the values of Maori insight. We are connected to the land around us, and over time, we have developed our knowledge and understanding of these concepts. Any quarrying or mining needs to remain a historical chapter in the history of the maunga/mountain and the valley. This could be acknowledged through the making of a site. • Aesthetic values, including memorability and naturalness, are of great value to the community. Will activities like Top of the Rock fun run, school trips, abseiling the rock face, and generations of local families carving their names on the rock at the top hold history for many people. • The two maunga speak in harmony aesthetically to form a valley below. With views from the top of the maunga from Mangawhai to the east and Kaipara Harbour to the west. Any reestablishment of quarrying or mining will destroy these views and the aesthetic values of the surrounding area. The Kaiwaka community sees these two Maunga/mountains for their contribution to a sense of place, which leads to a strong

				community association, and they are held in high public esteem, giving a sense of home and belonging.
	NFL-P4 Adverse effects outside the coastal environment	Support in part Full	To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.	<p>2. Avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of land use and development on the characteristics, qualities and values of Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including by:</p> <p>a. In Outstanding Natural Features, ensuring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate, taking into account the scale, form, and vulnerability to modification of the feature;</p> <p>The best way to avoid, remedy, and mitigate any adverse effects to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley is to prohibit mining, quarrying, and advertise effects from large-scale earthworks and infrastructure that will impact the natural features and landscape of the surrounding area.</p>
	NFL-P6 Assessment of resource consents	Support in Part Full	To give greater significance and protection to Pukpohatu/Baldrock and the surrounding valley that sits between Pukpohatu/Baldrock and Pukearoro.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the impact this will have on the ridgelines, skyline if there is a collapse of the Pukpohatu/Baldrock dacite dome or damage to the side of the rock faces. This will impact the ridgelines, skylines, and visibility from roads and public places. By prohibiting quarrying and mining, this will avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, including cumulative adverse effects on the surrounding area land use, and any negative effects on the NFLs characteristics. Pukpohatu/Baldrock was once an exciting quarry site. However, there has been significant development in housing, subdivision, and business within the area. Making the site no longer safe to operate any quarrying, mining, or earthworks in the surrounding area. Culturally, times have changed, and the voice of Tangata whenua over the protection of land is significant. As a country, we have learned the values of Maori insight. We are connected to the land around us, and over time, we have developed our knowledge and understanding of these concepts. Any quarrying or mining needs to remain a historical chapter in the history of the maunga/mountain and the valley. This could be acknowledged through the making of a site to honour the history of Coates family. Values, including memorability, are of great value to the community. Will activities like Top of the Rock fun run, school trips, abseiling the rock face, and generations of local families carving their names on the rock at the top hold history for many people.

				<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The two maunga speak in harmony aesthetically to form a valley below. With views from the top of the maunga from Mangawhai to the east and Kaipara Harbour to the west. Any reestablishment of quarrying or mining will destroy these views and the aesthetic values of the surrounding area. The Kaiwaka community sees these two Maunga/mountains for their contribution to a sense of place, which leads to a strong community association, and they are held in high public esteem, giving a sense of home and belonging.
--	--	--	--	---

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages